Case study



Verifying the Timing Correctness of Infineon's SafeTCore Safety Drivers

Infineon is the world's second largest chip supplier to the automotive industry, serving automotive applications such as power, body and convenience, safety management and infotainment.

The PRO-SIL Concept is a range of services provided by Infineon to support customers developing applications to meet IEC 61508 or ISO 26262. These services include safety drivers called SafeTCore. These drivers are functionally independent of microcontroller hardware and can run on all microcontrollers in Infineon's TriCore family.



Infineon asked Rapita Systems to conduct a two-stage project on the timing correctness of SafeTCore drivers:

- to use RapiTime to look for optimization opportunities that would lead to reduced SafeTCore driver execution times
- to perform a WCET analysis with Rapi**Time** to gain confidence that the timing requirements would still be met in worst-case situations

Challenge

Since the SafeTCore is not only dealing with functional safety but also timing safety, deadlines are being monitored and it is vital that the SafeTCore is able to provide guarantees about its own execution time requirements.

The SafeTCore software must have a low execution time so that there is sufficient time for the application to run within each frame. If the SafeTCore driver execution time is too long, then it becomes necessary to schedule some tests over multiple frames leading to a significantly longer response time for error detection.

Summary

Challenge

■ To provide guaranteed WCET with minimal pessimism for SafeTCore drivers running on Infineon's TriCore family in a system with limited I/O.

Solution

■ Using Rapi**Time**'s idpack feature, and a logic analyzer to collect timing data, full timing analysis of the software was performed.

Benefits

■ Coverage analysis demonstrated completeness of tests. Rapi**Time**'s optimization support identified optimizations allowing WCET of specific functions to be reduced to 56% of its original value

Solution

Infineon selected Rapi**Time** for its ability to provide a hybrid static analysis/dynamic measurement approach to WCET analysis. This avoided the challenges of a purely static analysis technique, which relies upon a specific model for each target to be analyzed.

As well as obtaining WCET values from Rapi**Time**, Infineon recognized that it could derive other benefits from the use of a tool that measures timing for small blocks of source code. In particular, feedback is received on the software implementation quality with respect to temporal variability and optimization potential.

Using Rapi**Time**'s idpack technology meant it was possible to uniquely identify as many instrumentation points as necessary within an 8-bit value. A trace of timestamped Ipoints was collected from an 8-bit output port using a Tektronix Logic Analyzer.

Benefits

The timing analysis part of the case study concentrated on five TriCore functions. The chart below shows the difference in WCET between the initial versions and optimized functions, showing up to 43.9% reduction in WCET.

Function >>>									
Name ^	W-Freq	W-	SelfET	W-SubfET			W-OverET	W-SelfED	W-OverED
⊕ main	0		0.000	<u>ke</u>	0.000	<u>ke</u>	0.000	0.000	0.000
➡ Sfl_CheckCSFR_StcCyclic	0		0.000		0.000	经	0.000	0.000	0.000
■	1		-0.013		0.000	8	-0.013 (-5%)	-0.013 (-5%)	-0.013 (-5%)
⇒ Sfl_CheckROM_Cell	1		-0.002		0.000	8	-0.002 (-12.5%)	-0.002 (-12.5%)	-0.002 (-12%)
■ Sfl_CheckSFR_StcCyclic	1		-0.001		0.000	8	-0.001 (-7.7%)	-0.001 (-7.7%)	-0.001 (-7.7%)
Sfl_RAM_CheckCell	1		-9.194		0.000	8	-9.194 (-43.9%)	-9.194 (-43.9%)	-9.194 (-44%)

The timing measurements obtained for the WCET analysis were also used to find source code optimizations in parallel with providing evidence of meeting timing requirements.

Beyond the high potential for software optimization revealed by the WCET analysis, it was also possible to identify areas of the software for further review. For example, unexpectedly long execution times can point to an unexpected error condition being triggered.



Where Rapi**Time** showed a large difference between maximum and calculated worst-case times, the cause was quickly identified as a data-dependent algorithm. This information is valuable when providing rationale for design and implementation decisions of future software.

Next steps

To discuss timing verification solutions for your company, please contact Rapita Systems at:

■ www.rapitasystems.com/contact



Rapita Systems Inc. 41131 Vincenti Ct. Novi, MI 48375 Tel (USA): +1 248-957-9801 Rapita Systems Ltd.

Atlas House, Osbaldwick Link Road York , YO10 3JB Registered in England & Wales: **5011090** Tel (UK/International): +44 (0)1904 413945

